



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/325,705	06/04/1999	TORU YAMADA	088941-0138	3162	
75	90 02/14/2003				
FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER		
WASHINGTON HARBOUR 3000 K STREET N W SUITE 500 P O BOX 25696 WASHINGTON, DC 200078696			WONG, A	WONG, ALLEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2613		
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_				
		pry				
	Applicant(s)					
	YAMADA, TORU					
	Art Unit					
	2613					
r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
ent of this application and the mendment which	TION FOR ALLOW ation. A proper reply on places the applicate y filed Request for (y to a ition in				
ner a) or b)]						
on. 2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In THS from the mailing date of the final rejection. VO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP						
petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or nonths after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if						
iled within the period set forth in avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
and/or search (see NOTE below);					
appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
ding number of f	nally rejected claim	s.				
submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
n has been consi heet.	dered but does NO	T place the				
ected SOLELY to issues which were newly						
be entered or b)⊠ will be entered and an is provided below or appended.						
or b)∐ disapp ∂) Paper No(s)	roved by the Exami	ner.				
,, i apoi 110(3)	$\overline{\bigcirc}$					

Application No 09/325.705 **Advisory Action** Examiner Allen Wong -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonme final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check eith a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MON' ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statu (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three n timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be f 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to a 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspond NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation St 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not dir raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: . Claim(s) objected to: . Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449 10. Other: ____ SUPERVISORY F

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNULULT

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of the limitations have been addressed in the previous Office Action, paper no.6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the combination of Yonemitsu and Matsushima for obtaining the present invention, as previously mentioned in paper no.6. Applicant states that Yonemitsu does not disclose the selection of field in decoding process only the selection of fields in encoding process. Clearly, if the selection of field in encoding process is possible, then the converse is possible. The display of image data is done in fig.15 where "SDTV SIGNAL" is displayed at output. Matsushima is used to reinforce and meet the deficiencies of Yonemitsu. Since Yonemitsu's element 92 is not specifically the "adding the zero values...", as described in the applicant's specification, because the zero values are used to make the block smaller (ie. from 8x8 block to 4x4 block). However, Matsushima teaches the adding of zero values after the DCT coefficients is done to enlarge the image data size (see fig.5C and col.5, lines 4-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teachings of Yonemitsu and Matsushima as a whole for permitting the size adjustment of the selected field block into having the size of the frame block so as to yield superior image quality. Doing so would allow the viewer to clearly see the image data at an appropriate image resolution at a highly efficient decoding speed and reduce costs.